ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

1. Project title:

2017 Housing Element Update

2. Lead agency name and address:

City of Montebello 1600 West Beverly Boulevard Montebello, CA 90640

3. Contact person and phone number:

Matthew Feke, Planning Manger (323) 887-1200

4. Project location:

Citywide, General Plan Housing Element

5. Project sponsor's name and address:

City of Montebello 1600 West Beverly Boulevard Montebello, CA 90640

6. General plan designation:

NA

7. Zoning:

NA

8. Description of project:

The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The Housing Element is one of seven required elements of the General Plan. The State of California requires that all local governments (both cities and counties) prepare and maintain housing elements to identify strategies to conserve, rehabilitate, and provide housing to meet the existing and projected needs of the community. The City of Montebello Housing Element fulfills the requirements of the State of California Planning, Zoning, and Development Laws (PZDL) and the regulations of Sections 65580 through 65589.8 of the California Government Code. The Housing Element is considered to be a project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). No construction is required or proposed as part of the project.

9. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

Citywide - Urbanized areas with a mix of existing commercial, industrial, residential uses, and oil production facilities.

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.)

None

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Aesthetics	Agriculture and Forestry Resources	Air Quality
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology /Soils
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology / Water Quality
Land Use / Planning	Mineral Resources	Noise
Population / Housing	Public Services	Recreation
Transportation/Traffic	Utilities / Service Systems	Mandatory Findings of Significance

Mandatory Findings of Significance DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation: I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required. Matthew Feske, Planning Manager Date Signature Date

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS. Would the project:		•		
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on				
a scenic vista?				\boxtimes
b) Substantially damage scenic				
resources, including, but not limited to,				
trees, rock outcroppings, and historic				\boxtimes
buildings within a state scenic highway?				
c) Substantially degrade the existing				
visual character or quality of the site and				\boxtimes
its surroundings?				
d) Create a new source of substantial				
light or glare which would adversely				
affect day or nighttime views in the				\boxtimes
area?				
II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY	RESOURCE	S In determ	nining whethe	r impacts to
agricultural resources are significant el				
California Agricultural Land Evaluation				
California Dept. of Conservation as an or				
and farmland. In determining whether				
significant environmental effects, lead				
California Department of Forestry and F				
land, including the Forest and Range A				
project; and forest carbon measurement				
the California Air Resources Board. Wou		provided in i	01631 1 101000	is adopted by
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique	id the project.			
Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide				
Importance (Farmland), as shown on				\square
the maps prepared pursuant to the				
Farmland Mapping and Monitoring				
Program of the California Resources				
Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
b) Conflict with existing zoning for				
agricultural use, or a Williamson Act				\boxtimes
contract?				
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or				
cause rezoning of, forest land (as				
defined in Public Resources Code				
section 12220(g)), timberland (as				\boxtimes
defined by Public Resources Code				
section 4526), or timberland zoned				
Timberland Production (as defined by				
Government Code section 51104(g))?				
d) Result in the loss of forest land or				
conversion of forest land to non-forest				\boxtimes
use?				_
e) Involve other changes in the existing				
environment which, due to their location				\boxtimes
or nature, could result in conversion of	_		_	

Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?			
III. AIR QUALITY. Where available, the quality management or air pollution condeterminations. Would the project:			
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?			
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?			
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?			\boxtimes
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Would	the project:		\boxtimes
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?			
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?			
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?			
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological			\boxtimes

resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the	project:			
a) Cause a substantial adverse change	' '			
in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?				\boxtimes
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?				\boxtimes
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique				
paleontological resource or site or				\boxtimes
unique geologic feature?	_	_	_	_
d) Disturb any human remains, including				
those interred outside of formal				\boxtimes
cemeteries?				
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the p	roiect			
a) Expose people or structures to	10,001.			
potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death				
involving:				
1. Rupture of a known				
earthquake fault, as delineated				
on the most recent Alquist-				
Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning				\square
Map issued by the State				
Geologist for the area or based				
on other substantial evidence				
of a known fault? Refer to				
Division of Mines and Geology				
Special Publication 42.				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault,				
as delineated on the most recent				
Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning				
Map issued by the State Geologist for				
the area or based on other substantial				\boxtimes
evidence of a known fault? Refer to				
Division of Mines and Geology Special				
Publication 42.				
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				
iii) Seismic-related ground failure,				
including liquefaction?				\boxtimes
iv) Landslides?				
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or				
the loss of topsoil?				\boxtimes
•				
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil				
that is unstable, or that would become				
unstable as a result of the project, and				\boxtimes
potentially result in on- or off-site				
landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
		i		

d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?			
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?			
<u>VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.</u> \	Nould the proj	ect:	
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			\boxtimes
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			\boxtimes
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MAT	ERIALS. Wou	ıld the project:	
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?			\boxtimes
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?			
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?			\boxtimes
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?			\boxtimes
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			\boxtimes
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			\boxtimes

h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?								
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:								
a) Violate any water quality standards or								
waste discharge requirements?				\boxtimes				
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?								
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?								
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				\boxtimes				
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				\boxtimes				
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water								
quality?								
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				\boxtimes				
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				\boxtimes				
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				\boxtimes				
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				\boxtimes				
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would t	ne project:							

a) Physically divide an established				\boxtimes
community?				
b) Conflict with any applicable land use				
plan, policy, or regulation of an agency				
with jurisdiction over the project				
(including, but not limited to the general				\boxtimes
plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted				
for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating				
an environmental effect?				
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat				
conservation plan or natural community				\boxtimes
conservation plan?				
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the	nroject:			
a) Result in the loss of availability of a	project.			
known mineral resource that would be	_	_	_	_
of value to the region and the residents				\boxtimes
of the state?				
b) Result in the loss of availability of a				
locally-important mineral resource				
recovery site delineated on a local				\boxtimes
general plan, specific plan or other land				
use plan?				
XII. NOISE Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation				
of noise levels in excess of standards				
established in the local general plan or				\boxtimes
noise ordinance, or applicable		_	_	_
standards of other agencies?				
b) Exposure of persons to or generation				
of excessive groundborne vibration or				\boxtimes
groundborne noise levels?				
c) A substantial permanent increase in				
ambient noise levels in the project				\bowtie
vicinity above levels existing without the				
project?				
d) A substantial temporary or periodic				
increase in ambient noise levels in the				\boxtimes
project vicinity above levels existing				
without the project?				
e) For a project located within an airport				
land use plan or, where such a plan has				
not been adopted, within two miles of a				
public airport or public use airport,				\square
would the project expose people				
residing or working in the project area to				
excessive noise levels?				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a				
private airstrip, would the project expose				\boxtimes
people residing or working in the project				
area to excessive noise levels? XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Wo	uld the project			
a) Induce substantial population growth	uiu ii ie project 	•		
in an area, either directly (for example,				\boxtimes
by proposing new homes and				
- / r p - cgo.r rioineo ana	1			

businesses) or indirectly (for example,				
through extension of roads or other				
infrastructure)?				
b) Displace substantial numbers of				
existing housing, necessitating the				\boxtimes
construction of replacement housing				
elsewhere?				
c) Displace substantial numbers of				
people, necessitating the construction of				\boxtimes
replacement housing elsewhere?				
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES.	Г	Г		
a) Would the project result in substantial				
adverse physical impacts associated				
with the provision of new or physically				
altered governmental facilities, need for				
new or physically altered governmental				
facilities, the construction of which could				
cause significant environmental				
impacts, in order to maintain acceptable				
service ratios, response times or other				
performance objectives for any of the				
public services:				N 2
Fire protection?			<u> </u>	
Police protection?			<u> </u>	
Schools?				
Parks?				
Other public facilities?				
XV. RECREATION.				_
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such		П	П	
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan,				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system,				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system,				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections,				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways,				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass				
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	uld the project			
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit? b) Conflict with an applicable congestion	uld the project			
XV. RECREATION. a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Wo a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	uld the project			

and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				\boxtimes
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				\boxtimes
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				
XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTE	MS. Would the	project:		
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional				\boxtimes
Water Quality Control Board? b) Require or result in the construction				
of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				\boxtimes
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				\boxtimes
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				\boxtimes
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				\boxtimes
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				\boxtimes
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				\boxtimes
XVIII.NATIONAL POLLUTION DISCHA project result in:	ARGE ELIMIN	IATION SYST	TEM (NPDES). Would the
a. Storm water system discharges from areas for materials storage, vehicle or				\boxtimes

equipment fueling, vehicle or equipment maintenance (including washing), waste				
handling, hazardous materials handling				
or storage delivery or loading docks, or				
other outdoor work areas?				
b. A significantly environmentally				
harmful increase in the flow rate or				\boxtimes
volume of storm water runoff?				
c. A significantly environmentally				
harmful increase in erosion of the				\boxtimes
project site or surrounding areas?				
d. Storm water discharges that would				
significantly impair the beneficial uses of				
receiving waters or areas that provide				\boxtimes
water quality benefits (e.g., riparian				
corridors, wetlands, etc.)?				
e. Harm the biological integrity of				\boxtimes
drainage systems and water bodies?				
f. Will there be potential impact of]]	
project construction on storm water		Ш	Ш	\boxtimes
runoff?				
g. Will there be potential impact of				
project post-construction activity on				\boxtimes
storm water runoff?	NUCLOANIOE			
XVIX. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIG	NIFICANCE.			
a) Does the project have the potential to				
degrade the quality of the environment,				
substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or				
wildlife population to drop below self-				
sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a				\boxtimes
plant or animal community, reduce the				
number or restrict the range of a rare or				
endangered plant or animal or eliminate				
important examples of the major periods				
of California history or prehistory?				
b) Does the project have impacts that				
are individually limited, but cumulatively				
considerable? ("Cumulatively				
considerable" means that the				
incremental effects of a project are				\boxtimes
considerable when viewed in connection				
with the effects of past projects, the				
effects of other current projects, and the				
effects of probable future projects)?				
c) Does the project have environmental				
effects which will cause substantial				
adverse effects on human beings, either				
directly or indirectly?				

EVALUATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT:

This section considers the impacts of the proposed project, including short-term and long-term impacts of the proposed actions such as the construction of the proposed project or its operations, and indirect or secondary impacts from project actions. For each environmental topic, the State CEQA guidelines provide a description of the "threshold of significance" to guide the Lead Agency in its determinations regarding whether there is a potential significant effect on the environment. One of the following determinations is made for each topic:

No Impact - the proposed project will not have any measurable impact on the environmental factor being analyzed.

Less Than Significant Impact - the proposed project would have an adverse impact relative to the environmental topic under consideration; however, the impacts would be below the threshold of significance.

Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated - the proposed project would result in environmental impacts that exceed the threshold of significance criteria, but mitigation measures incorporated into the project will mitigate the impact to a level that is less than significant. "Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." A description of the mitigation measure(s) is provided along with a brief explanation of how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level.

Potentially Significant Impact - the proposed project would have impacts that are considered significant.

The explanation provided for each checklist question identifies the significance criteria or threshold used to evaluate project impacts, and mitigation measures are identified, if necessary, to reduce impacts to below a level of significance. Generally, the discussion of environmental impacts focuses on the adverse environmental impacts of a project; however, it is possible for a project to have beneficial environmental impacts in which case the benefits are identified but not considered significant.

A brief explanation is provided for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources cited by the Lead Agency. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the reference information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer is considered sufficient where it is based on project-specific factors, as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).

Mitigation Measures are actions that are to be taken to reduce or eliminate project impacts. Such measures required for this project are described at the end of each topical section or in an attached Mitigation Measures and Monitoring Plan. A Mitigation Monitoring Plan or Conditions of Approval attached to the project lists all of the Mitigation Measures for the project describes at what stage in the development of the project plans or construction the measures are to be implemented and who is responsible for assuring that the mitigation measure is completed. Earlier analyses are used where an earlier EIR or negative declaration adequately analyzed an environmental issue. A brief discussion provides the reference and the location where it may be reviewed. References used to prepare this document are numbered and shown as footnotes. These reference documents are available for review at the Planning and Community Development Department, City Hall, 1600 West Beverly Boulevard, Montebello, CA.90640.

I AESTHETICS

- a) Would the project have a substantial effect upon a scenic vista?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project and there are no identified scenic vistas within the City, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project substantially damage scenic resources including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings and historic buildings within view of a State Scenic Highway?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- c) Would the project substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site or its surroundings?

No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

d) Would the project create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

II AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY RESOURCES

- a) Would the project convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?
 - **No impact.** There are no Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring program of the California Resources Agency within the City, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Act contract? No impact. The project will not conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use within the City because no construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- Would the project conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?
 No impact. There is no forest land or timberland within the City and no construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- d) Would the project result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use No impact. There is no forest land within the City and no construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- e) Would the project involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?
 - **No impact.** The project will not convert any farmland, agricultural land, or forest land and no construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

III AIR QUALITY

- a) Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact
- b) Would the project violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or project air quality violation?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact
- c) Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the

City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact

- d) Would the project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact
- e) Would the project create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact

IV BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

- a) Would the project have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact
- b) Would the project have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan

Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact

- c) Would the project have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact
- d) Would the project interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact
- e) Would the project conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact
- f) Would the project conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

V CULTURAL RESOURCES

- a) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines 15064.5?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA guidelines 15064.5?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact
- c) Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geological feature?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- Would the project disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries? No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact

VI GEOLOGY AND SOILS

- a) Would the project expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: 1) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issues by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? 2) Strong seismic ground shaking? 3) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? 4) Landslides?
 - **No Impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- c) Would the project be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?
 - **No Impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- d) Would the project be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?
 - **No impact.** No construction is a part of the project and soils reports and testing will be conducted for developments as required, therefore No Impact.

e) Would the project have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?
 No impact. No construction is a part of the project, waste water disposal is primarily through a connection to the sewer system in the rare case of a septic tank use, soils reports and testing will be conducted for developments, therefore No Impact.

VII GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

- a) Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment.
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?
 - **No impact.** The policy document does not conflict with any emissions plan, policy or regulation, therefore No Impact.

VIIIHAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

- a) Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?
 - **No Impact.** The Housing Element discusses pipelines and hazardous waste generators which may create a hazard through routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials. However, the proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?
 - **No Impact.** The Housing Element discusses pipelines and hazardous waste generators that may have an accidental release of hazardous materials into the environment. However, the proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- Would the project emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?
 No Impact. The Housing Element discusses pipelines and hazardous waste generators that may have an
 - accidental release of hazardous materials into the environment. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- d) Would the project be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?
 - **No impact.** The project is a policy document and does include a specific development however the properties designated for future development under the programs of the project are not included on the list of hazardous materials sites referenced in Government Code Section 65962.5 and therefore would not create a hazard to the public or environment.
- e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the

City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

- f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- g) Would the project impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?
 - **No Impact.** The Housing Element is a policy document and compliments emergency response plans and emergency evacuation plans, therefore No Impact.
- h) Would the project expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

IX HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

- Would the project violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- c) Would the project substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- d) Would the project substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding on— or off-site?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- e) Would the project create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

- f) Would the project otherwise substantially degrade water quality? No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- g) Would the project place housing within 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- h) Would the project place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- Would the project expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?
 No impact. The Housing Element has identified a dams and reservoirs. However, the General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- j) Would the project expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of inundation of seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?
 No Impact. The City is not in a seiche, tsunami, or mudflow area. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

X LAND USE AND PLANNING

- a) Would the project physically divide an established community? No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No land division is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- c) Would the project conflict with any applicable habitat, conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No conflict with any habitat, conservation plan or natural community conservation plan with the project, therefore No Impact.

XI MINERAL RESOURCES

a) Would the project result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?

No impact. The proposed project is a policy document and does not propose development or a change to regulation of oil and gas resources, therefore No Impact.

b) Would the project result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?
 No impact. The proposed project is a policy document and does not propose development or a change to regulation of oil and gas resources, therefore No Impact.

XII NOISE

- a) Would the project result in exposure of persons to or generation of noise in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project result in exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- Would the project result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- Would the project result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- e) For a project located in an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Floment. The General Plan
 - **No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- f) For a project in the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan

Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

XIII POPULATION AND HOUSING

- a) Would the project induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses), or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?
 No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

c) Would the project displace a substantial number of people necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

XIV PUBLIC SERVICES

- a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the public services:
 - 1. **Fire protection: No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
 - 2. **Police protection: No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
 - 3. Schools: No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
 - **4. Parks: No impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
 - 5. Other public facilities: No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

No impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

XV RECREATION

- a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?
 No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

XVI TRANSPORTATION/ TRAFFIC

- a) Would the project conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?
 No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?
 No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- Would the project result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels, or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?
 No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?
 No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- e) Would the project result in inadequate emergency access? No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?
 No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating hazards. The Housing Element does not contain policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities or safety of such facility. Therefore No Impact.

XVIIUTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

- a) Would the project exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?
 - **No Impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?

No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

- would the project require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?
 No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- Would the project have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?
 No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- e) Would the project result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?
 - **No Impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- f) Would the project be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?
 - **No Impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- Would the project comply with federal, state and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?
 No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

XVIII NATIONAL POLLUTION DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES)

- a) Would the project result in storm water system discharges from areas for materials storage, vehicle or equipment fueling, vehicle or equipment maintenance (including washing), waste handling, hazardous materials?
 - **No Impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Would the project result in a significant environmentally harmful increase in the flow rate or volume of storm water runoff?
 - **No Impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- c) Would the project result in a significant environmentally harmful increase in erosion of the project site or surrounding areas?
 - **No Impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the

City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

- Would the project result in storm water discharges that would significantly impair the beneficial uses of receiving waters or areas that provide water quality benefits (e.g., riparian corridors, wetlands, etc.)?
 No Impact. The proposed project is a policy document, no construction is proposed. The Housing Element is not related to storm water.
- e) Would the project harm the biological integrity of drainage systems and water bodies? No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- f) Will there be potential impact of project construction on storm water runoff?

 No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- g) Will there be potential impact of project post-construction activity on storm water runoff?
 No Impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.

XIX MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

- a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish and wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
 - **No Impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?
 - **No Impact.** The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project, therefore No Impact.
- c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?
 - Less than significant impact. The proposed project is an update to the General Plan Housing Element. The General Plan Housing Element is a policy document that focuses on identifying natural or human-made hazards in the City and specifies policies and implementation programs to minimize hazards to the public. No construction is a part of the project. The Housing Element cannot anticipate indirect effects of the polices within, however any specific development will be evaluated at the time of application, therefore the impact is Less Than significant.